Am I being 'spied' on? A Systematic Comparison of Consumer Reactions Between Retargeting and Digital Eavesdropping Incidences

Kevin Krause

Saarland University, Institute for Consumer and Behavioral Research

Andrea Gröppel-Klein

Saarland University, Institute for Consumer & Behavioral Research

Cite as:

Krause Kevin, Gröppel-Klein Andrea (2024), Am I being 'spied' on? A Systematic Comparison of Consumer Reactions Between Retargeting and Digital Eavesdropping Incidences. *Proceedings of the European Marketing Academy*, 53rd, (119598)

Paper from the 53rd Annual EMAC Conference, Bucharest, Romania, May 28-31, 2024



Am I being 'spied' on? A Systematic Comparison of Consumer Reactions Between Retargeting and Digital Eavesdropping Incidences

Abstract

Marketing techniques being legally permitted but crossing ethical boundaries are repeatedly subject of controversies regarding consumer protection and communication effectiveness. We examine the case of digital eavesdropping in which offline conversational data deems as the source of online personalized advertising based on personalization paradox and privacy calculus theory. In one pre-registered experimental lab study participants were randomly assigned to one out of two conditions and experienced either a retargeting or a digital eavesdropping incidence, with the latter resulting in a deterioration of attitudinal and behavioral variables considering the ad and the marketer. Further, results highlight negative consequences of perceived (rather than actual) digital eavesdropping leading to increased perceived creepiness and annoyance. From a marketing-related and ethical point of view, we do not recommend using interpersonal conversational data as a source of personalization attempts.

Subject Areas: Advertising, Attitude, Consumer Behaviour

Track: Social Responsibility & Ethics